

REMARKS

Claims 1 and 10 have been amended. Claims 1-14 remain in the application.

The amendments to claim 1 and 10 have been amended for purposes of clarity. As amended, claims 1 and 10 should be in compliance with 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.


Concurrently submitted with this amendment are two Terminal Disclaimers to obviate double patenting rejections. The Terminal Disclaimers reference U.S. Patent 6,317,599 and U.S. Patent 6,493,679, respectively, both of which are owned by the assignee of the present application. The only rejections lodged in the office action were for obviousness-type double patenting over U.S. Patent 6,317,599 alone or in combination with U.S. Patent 6,493,679 or U.S. Patent 6,496,290. Because both U.S. Patent 6,317,599 and U.S. Patent 6,493,679 are effectively eliminated as a reference by entry of the terminal disclaimers, no rejections remain viable, and all rejections of the claims for obviousness type double patenting must now be withdrawn.

A check for \$110 is attached to satisfy the fees for entering both terminal disclaimers. If any additional fees are required to gain entry of this amendment or the concurrently filed terminal disclaimers, the Commissioner is authorized to charge attorney's deposit account 50-2041 (Whitham, Curtis & Christofferson).

Accompanying this response is a proposed revision to Figures 19 and 20. This revisions should present the drawings more legibly, and it is requested the proposed drawing provisions be accepted in the next correspondence.

The application should now be in *prima facie* condition for allowance. Reconsideration and allowance at the earliest possible date is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Michael E. Whitham
Reg. No. 32,635



30743

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

